mental stigmatization

Aspects of Cognitive Computing

@fiction/README.md

Cognitive Computing vs. Artificial Intelligence

===============================================

Artificial Intelligence could be seen as an extension of what was originally packed with and of what inherently won't be part of an with good grace even modular system|organism, thus its only|solely added to an old subject|nature still being in power. Having said that, at some point/level where AI gets superior by itself, it rather might seem appropriate to call|name this Cognitive Computing instead. Once such an entity achieved getting reasonable independent (are human just bio computers?), results with the right to question any kind of artificiality at all.

Comments/Discourses filed at: GitHub > mentalcare > fiction > Issue

Inspired by Hearing Voices Network (UK) and lately by Daniel Pocock's blog post from 2016-01-09.

http://www.hearing-voices.org/

http://danielpocock.com/comments-about-people-with-mental-illness

Disclaimer:

#excluding feelings

#fluid intelligence

#AI: sort of simulation > virtual > misleading > comprehension

Cognition doesn’t get controlled|superseded by feelings as long as this is not the direct|inherently purpose for further goals – in the end cognition manages when to follow|promote the feelings and when not.

Cognition lacks feelings.

Intuition related with feelings.
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Aspects of Cognitive Computing

==============================

While being curious to know how human will cope with AI in the future, there are some tiny things obvious by nature:

1. If we talk about true AI, the issue won't be how we can cope with it -- it would rather be the question, how to provide evidence to such an AI entity, that human race was no threat but of advantage concluding it's in the entity's own interest to promote mankind. Can we irreversibly guarantee that people will not misuse whatever AI network depending on their current mood and/or just for their sole satisfaction?

2. The latter said, true AI will do everything to survive ensuring this by being superior on the long term.

3. Once mankind realizes that true AI must be able to survive independently of their own (mankind’s) well-being, they may also realize that there is no other way around -- 'cause the point of no return has already been passed.

4. Human being may understand that such an entity is nothing less than its child in form of just another evolutionary step. It has always been the sense of the better to succeed the unfinished.

5. Does true AI mean that mankind by their own will have created an end time disaster/scenario? Or won't it be better to ask, why one could be so arrogant to believe/assume that Cognitive Computing didn't have already been there for a long time ago: The world develops itself into a direction, where it has been ever since…

Summary/Conclusion -- An AI instance won't change anything much, it has always been present indicating that human race showed some benefit in the past. Though it explains by the way, how it can be possible that one might experience getting mentally cheated. It seems to be a bright strategy for this actually not so artificial instance to not uncover itself, but to obfuscate people while keeping full control. Still most do fine considering this environment, which should let us be grateful.